UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,964	09/23/2003	Yoshiaki Sakagami	059406.00012	7894
32294 7590 07/30/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
14TH FLOOR			AZAD, ABUL K	
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/667,964	SAKAGAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ABUL K. AZAD	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	fav 2007.					
	s action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-21</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on May 7, 2007.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-21 are pending in this action. Claims 1, 6-12 and 18-21 have been amended. Claims 2 and 3 have been canceled.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-21 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1 and 4-12 and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakadai et al. (US 2004/0104702).

As per claim 1, Nakadai teaches, "a receptionist robot system", comprising:

"a traveling robot including autonomous traveling means for traveling autonomously and recognition means for recognizing a guest at least according to image information" (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, elements 11, 14 and 16); and

Art Unit: 2626

"management database means adapted to communicate with the robot and provided with a database containing identification information to identify the guest recognized by the recognition means" (Paragraphs 0146);

"wherein the guest is identified at least according to information obtained by the recognition means and management database" (Paragraphs 0146-0152);

"wherein the traveling robot is provided with dialog means for communicating with the guest recognized by the recognition means" (Fig. 4, element 53) and

"response means for determining the contents of communication with the guest according to and identity of the gust recognized by the recognition means and associated information from the management database means" (Paragraphs 0191-0199).

As per claim 4, SNakadai teaches, "wherein the management database means is adapted to retain and update individual personal information and schedule information" (Paragraph 0191-0199).

As per claim 5, Nakadai teaches, "wherein the management database means is adapted to update the individual personal information according to a result of communication with the guest conducted by the response means" (Paragraphs 0191-0199).

As per claim 6, Nakadai teaches, "wherein the recognition means is adapted to select a candidate or determine a priority order of a plurality of candidates according to the schedule information of the management database means" (Paragraphs 0191-0199).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,964

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 7, Nakadai teaches, "wherein the recognition means comprises a camera" (Fig. 6, element 15).

As per claim 8, Nakadai teaches, "wherein the recognition means comprises stereoscopic cameras" (Fig. 6, element 15).

As per claim 9, Nakadai teaches, "wherein the recognition means comprises a microphone" (Fig. 5, element 16).

As per claim 10, Nakadai teaches, "wherein the external recognition means comprises stereophonic microphones" (Fig. 5, element 16).

As per claims 11, 12, 14-21, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 4-10, because claims 11, 12, and 14-21 have similar scope.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakadai et al. as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Bancroft et al. (US 6,584,375).

As per claim 13, Nakadai does not explicitly teach, "wherein the management database comprises map information including at least a position of a stairway, and the traveling robot is capable of traveling inside a building including a stairway according to

Application/Control Number: 10/667,964

Art Unit: 2626

the map information". However, Bancroft teaches, "wherein the management database comprises map information including at least a position of a stairway, and the traveling robot is capable of traveling inside a building including a stairway according to the map information" (col. 6, lines 45-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Bancroft's teaching in the Nakadai's invention because Bancroft teaches his invention might better to fulfill the customers needs (col. 1, lines 36-40).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2626

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7599. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Patric Edouard**, can be reached at (571) 272-7603.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: (571) 273-8300.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **401 Dulany Street**, **Alexandria**, **VA-22314** (Customer Service Window).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,964

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 22, 2007

Abul K. Azad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

Page 7